IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ALBANY DIVISION

DARREN RODERICK GURDON,

:

Petitioner,

CASE NO. 1:12-CV-170-WLS-MSH

28 U.S.C. § 2241

ERIC HOLDER, DANIEL A. BIBLE, and DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND

SECURITY,

v.

:

Respondents.

RECOMMENDATION OF DISMISSAL

Presently pending before the Court is Respondents' motion to dismiss, filed on February 8, 2013. (ECF No. 15.) In his application for habeas relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, Petitioner was challenging the legality of his continued detention by the Immigration and Customs Enforcement agency. (Pet. for Writ of Habeas Corpus 11, ECF No. 1.) Along with their Motion to Dismiss, Respondents filed a Warrant of Removal/Deporation (ECF No. 15-1) showing that Petitioner was removed on January 31, 2013. (*See* Mot. to Dismiss, Ex. A.) Because of Petitioner's removal, Respondents now contend that Petitioner's pending § 2241 petition is moot and should be dismissed as such. (Mot. to Dismiss 1-2.) The Court agrees and recommends dismissal of this case as moot.

"[A] case is most when the issues presented are no longer live or the parties lack a legally cognizable interest in the outcome." *Al Najjar v. Ashcroft*, 273 F.3d 1330, 1335-

36 (11th Cir. 2001) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "If events that occur

subsequent to the filing of a lawsuit or an appeal deprive the court of the ability to give

the plaintiff or appellant meaningful relief, then the case is moot and must be dismissed."

Id. at 1336. Where a habeas petitioner who was protesting his detention is no longer in

custody, the dispute with regard to his detention is mooted. See Spencer v. Kemna, 523

U.S. 1, 7-8 (1998) (discussing "in custody" requirement of habeas statute 28 U.S.C. §

2254). Since the Court can no longer give the Petitioner any meaningful relief, the case

is moot and "dismissal is required because mootness is jurisdictional." Al Najjar, 273

F.3d at 1336.

WHEREFORE, IT IS RECOMMENDED that Respondents' Motion to Dismiss be

GRANTED and Petitioner's Application for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED

without prejudicing his right to file a new § 2241 petition in the future if a change in his

circumstances occurs. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Petitioner may serve and

file written objections to this recommendation with the UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE within fourteen (14) days after being served a copy of this recommendation.

SO RECOMMENDED, this 11th day of February, 2013.

S/Stephen Hyles

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2